|  | Application No.                | Applicant(s)                       |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | 09/913,954                     | JACOBS ET AL.                      |
|  | Examiner                       | Art Unit                           |
|  | Sally A Sakelaris              | 1634                               |
| All Participants: Status of Application: after final   |                                |                                    |
| (1) <u>Sally A Sakelaris</u> .   | (3)                            |                                    |
| (2) <u>Gary Tanigawa</u> .   | (4)                            |                                    |
| Date of Interview: 14 June 2004  | Time:                          |                                    |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:                         |                                |                                    |
| Part I.  |                                |                                    |
| Rejection(s) discussed:  Enablement rejection standing on 1-10 and 15-20   |                                |                                    |
| Claims discussed:<br>1 and 2   |                                |                                    |
| Prior art documents discussed:   |                                |                                    |
| Part II.   |                                |                                    |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  |                                |                                    |
| Applicant's representative was apprised of allowable subject matter following a suggested examiner's amendment that added to claims 1 and 2 a recitation of "at least one pathological mutation in the coding region in another" |                                |                                    |
| Part III.  |                                |                                    |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application.   |                                |                                    |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.      |                                |                                    |
|  |                                |                                    |
|  |                                |                                    |
|  |                                |                                    |
|  |                                |                                    |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature)   | Applicant/Applicant's Represen | tative Signature – if appropriate) |